I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Services as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Attorney for Applicant

Date: 04 January 2006



## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/678,722

Applicant Filed

Brian W. Huber 3 October 2003

Titled

**VOLTAGE REGULATOR AND DATA PATH** 

FOR A MEMORY DEVICE

Art Unit

2824

**Examiner** Atty Docket No.

Phung, Anh K

DB00859-008

## TRANSMITTAL LETTER

To:

Mail Stop Issue Fee

Commissioner for Patents

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### Dear Sir:

# Enclosed for filing are the following:

- an Issue Fee Transmittal, Part B (PTO Form PTOL-85B); 1.
- 2. a Fee Address Indication Form:
- Comment on Statement for Reasons for Allowance; 3.
- 4. a check in the amount of \$1,715.00 as the requisite Fee under 37 C.F.R. §1.18(a), §1.18(d) and for five (5) advanced copies of the issued patent.

The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any underpayment or credit any overpayment to our Deposit Account No. 50-3659. A copy of this transmittal letter is enclosed.

A return post card is also enclosed. Please date stamp and mail the postcard to acknowledge receipt of this correspondence.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward L. Pencoske Reg. No. 29,688

JONES DAY


500 Grant Street Suite 3100 Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2502

(412) 394-9531

Attorneys for Applicant

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited in the United States Postal Services as First Class Mail in an envelope addressed to:

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450





Date: 04 January 2006

#### IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.

10/678,722

Applicant

Brian W. Huber

Filed

3 October 2003

Titled

**VOLTAGE REGULATOR AND DATA PATH** 

FOR A MEMORY DEVICE

**Art Unit** 

2824

**Examiner** 

Phung, Anh K

Atty Docket No.

DB00859-008

## COMMENT ON STATEMENT FOR REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

Applicant believes that the Statement of Reasons for Allowance in this case is improper as it merely copies one or more limitations of the claims into the reasons for allowance. Whileapplicant believes that the claims are allowable, applicant does not agree patentability resides in each feature, exactly as expressed in the claims, nor that each feature is required for patentability.

Respectfully submitted,

Edward L. Pencoske

Reg. No. 29,688

**JONES DAY** 

500 Grant Street

**Suite 3100** 

Pittsburgh, PA 15219-2502

(412) 394-9531

(412) 394-7959 (Facsimile)

Attorneys for Applicant

Dated: January 4, 2006